

REMARKS

Provisional Double Patenting Rejection

The provisional double patenting rejection of claim 14 is now moot in view of cancellation of this claim.

Obviousness-Type Double Patenting Rejection

The provisional rejection of claims 1-13 and 15-28 on grounds of obviousness-type double patenting has been traversed by submission of a terminal disclaimer executed by the attorney of record in this application disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent to be granted from this application that would extend beyond the normal full statutory term of U.S. Patent No. 7,790,056, which has been granted from Patent Application No. 10/574,662 identified by the examiner in the rejection.

Amendments to Claims


Claim 14 has been cancelled and claims 20-28 have been amended to reflect that claim 18, to which the claims refer, recites a value document. Thus, claims 20-28 now recite in the preamble "The composition or value document according to claim 1 or 18,...". The amendment is believed to avoid the rejection based on indefiniteness expressed by the examiner.

Closing Comments

This application having been placed fully in condition for allowance, its passage to issue is respectfully requested.

BACON & THOMAS, PLLC
625 Slaters Lane, 4th Floor
Alexandria, VA 22314-1176
Phone: (703) 683-0500
Facsimile: (703) 683-1080
Date: October 19, 2010

Respectfully submitted,


J. ERNEST KENNEY
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 19,179